

QUEANBEYAN-PALERANG REGIONAL COUNCIL

Council Meeting Attachment

28 JUNE 2018

ITEM 4.1 ADOPTION OF DRAFT INTEGRATED PLANS

ATTACHMENT 1 SUMMARY OF SUBMISSIONS ON DRAFT INTEGRATED
 PLANS



Submissions summary – draft Integrated Plans



Submission summary – draft Integrated Plans

Executive Summary of engagement report:

Following Council's meeting on 26 April 2018, the following documents were placed on public exhibition"

- Draft Delivery Program 2018-21
- Draft Operational Plan 2018-19
- Draft Revenue Policy 2018-19
- Draft Fees and Charges 2018-19

The exhibition period was advertised via Council's regular ads and Mayoral Column in the Queanbeyan Age, Bungendore Weekly and Braidwood Times, Council's weekly e-newsletter, social media and media releases. Additionally, Council held community meetings in Queanbeyan, Braidwood and Bungendore where the draft documents were the main topic. Participants in earlier engagement activities relating to the draft Delivery Program were notified of the exhibition period. Hard copies of documents were available at Council's customer service centres and libraries at Queanbeyan, Braidwood and Bungendore.

Staff have reviewed all submissions and provided a comment and recommendation. Submissions were discussed with councillors at a workshop on 13 June and have been presented to the 28 June Council meeting for discussion and action.

Participation in engagement:

Council received 80 submissions on the draft Integrated Plans, with 12 of these being provided prior to the release of the draft documents. The consultation was undertaken on Council's online engagement hub, Your Voice. Stats from the Your Voice site showed:

- 812 users visited to project page
- 702 documents were downloaded
- 366 visitors directly accessed the site, while 267 came via a Facebook post and 116 were redirected from our website.
- Of the 40 submission made via Your Voice, 20 were each male and female,

Submission summary – draft Integrated Plans

Comments received:

Submission No	Submission (full/summary)	Responsible officer	Staff response	Staff recommendation
DP1	<p>Full submission Amend budget to reflect Local Government Remuneration Tribunal's determination for the 2018-19 financial year</p> <p>Regional Rural Councillor Maximum Allowance - \$19,970 Regional Rural Mayoral Maximum Allowance - \$43,170</p>	Service Manager, Governance	The determination by the Tribunal to increase allowances by 2.5% will result in a \$6,330 increase in Mayor and Councillor fees from what is in the draft budget	<p>Increase councillor and Mayoral allowance as per the Tribunal's determination</p> <p>Budget impact: \$6,330 funded by revenue</p>
DP2	<p>Full submission</p> <p>I wish to make a submission in relation to the Draft Delivery Program 2018-21 on behalf of the Queanbeyan District Cricket Club (QDCC).</p> <p>The below input was provided to Council earlier this year for inclusion in the Delivery Program. It appears the draft Delivery Program makes no mention of our request for the \$35k funding required to complete the works outlined below.</p> <p>Council should be aware that funds were provided to lay a turf wicket to replace a synthetic wicket on Rockley Oval in Googong. The issue that has been overlooked is that equipment and facilities are required to enable cricket to be played on the turf wicket at Rocklea. Until this basic infrastructure is provided the turf wicket cannot be used meaning the resources that have gone in to the ground to date are wasted. Just to be clear NO CRICKET CAN BE PLAYED AT ROCKLEA UNTIL A STORAGE SHED IS ERECTED.</p> <p>In respect of Brad Haddin Oval, a larger shed is able to be placed on the current site. It has been a colour bond shed for 50 years and we have had no issues with break ins. A larger shed will allow covers to be stored at the ground. This is a requirement to host representative games. Council should be aware that if games can be played on this ground, it opens up the opportunity for teams to travel from interstate, boosting the local economy.</p> <p>Your reconsideration of our request below would be appreciated.</p> <p>Rockley Oval – Googong A new turf wicket block was put in on Rockley Oval to replace a synthetic pitch in 2017. The turf square is now well established however no games are able to be played on the ground until a storage facility is erected. The shed will house essential equipment for games to be played eg a roller, mower, covers and marking equipment etc. Cricket on the new turf wicket cannot be played until a shed is erected. A double colour bond garage is requested to be erected behind the existing cricket nets. Cost is approx. \$15k</p> <p>Brad Haddin Oval A larger storage shed is required at the Oval to replace the small and aging shed currently at the ground. For representative games to be played on the Oval, a minimum requirement of equipment and covers etc is required. The existing shed is well over 40 years old and is unable to adequately accommodate the equipment required. A larger shed is able to be installed on the current site with no disruption to the immediate surroundings. It should be noted, Brad Haddin has indicated his desire to play T20 cricket for the club next season on the Oval that bears his name therefore a larger shed that enables the storage of covers etc will ensure this standard of game is able to be scheduled now and into the future. Cost of a larger shed is approx. \$10k.</p> <p>Freebody Oval A single colour bond garage is requested to be erected in the car park on the Lorn Road entry to the Oval. The proposal is to locate the shed behind the old set of dressing rooms and next to an existing storage container. The purpose of the shed is to house a new ground mower and other curating material. The mower is unable to be parked under the existing Freebody Oval Pavilion due to its height. Cost is approx. \$10k.</p>	Service Manager, Urban Landscapes	<p>Rockley Oval – Googong There is a need for future storage at Rockley Oval for both cricket and the school. The area behind the practice nets may be suitable, however, it should not be a colour bond garage. Any building must be secure and also fit within the landscape/facilities standard. Staff estimate that a shed on this site would cost around \$40,000.</p> <p>Brad Haddin Oval Staff agree the current shed is too small and should be replaced. Sufficient space is available however security and aesthetics are important. Staff estimate that a shed on this site would cost around \$20,000.</p> <p>Freebody Oval Staff are opposed to this request, as Council invested significant funds constructing new facilities at Freebody and removing the old sheds that littered the site. Queanbeyan Cricket was advised before it purchased the mower it would not fit into the storage under the building and it should acquire a mower with a fold down ROPs. The mower is now stored at Council's WAMI, just around the corner. It should also be noted, the land on which the club wishes to build the shed is not Council land, it belongs to the Kangaroos Club.</p>	<p>Council allocating funding for storage facilities for Brad Haddin Oval (\$20,000) and Rockley Oval (\$40,000) in 2019-20</p> <p>Budget impact: \$60,000 in 2019-20 funded from revenue.</p>
DP3	<p>Full submission I am wanting to voice a proposal for an urgent installation of a Roundabout or Traffic Lights at the Crawford Street / Erin Street / Campbell Streets Intersection please.</p> <p>This intersection is so dangerous and local businesses at this location have often mentioned it is the worst in Queanbeyan. Please allocate this into the closest future budget.</p>	Service Manager, Contracts and Projects	<p>Council has previously applied for funding for this intersection in the Australian Blackspot Program and NSW Safer Roads, however the application was unsuccessful.</p> <p>Staff are planning to re-visit the intersection and lodge another application this year, with possible adjustments to the design</p>	<p>That Council continue to seek grant funding of around \$800,000 for this project.</p> <p>Budget impact: \$800,000 in 2018-19 – grant funding</p>

Submission summary – draft Integrated Plans

Submission No	Submission (full/summary)	Responsible officer	Staff response	Staff recommendation
DP4	<p>Full submission Resolution from Council meeting on Wednesday 23 May:</p> <p>Council to consider funding in the Delivery Program for the removal of the current underground irrigation at Mick Sherd Oval and the installation of a permanent surface irrigation system</p>	Service Manager, Urban Landscapes	Mick Sherd Oval can be converted to traditional aboveground turf irrigation at an estimate of \$165,000 utilising the existing tank. Initially, the water supply will need to be potable, until a higher quality recycled water or stormwater harvesting can be supplied.	<p>Council include funding in the Delivery Program for the upgrade of irrigation at Mick Sherd Oval, Bungendore (\$165,000). Works to be undertaken in 2018-19 financial year.</p> <p>Budget impact: \$165,000 in 2018-19 funded from revenue.</p>
DP5	<p>Full submission Braidwood ratepayers appear to be marginalised and our community receives less funding then all other towns and centres</p>	Service Manager, Urban Landscapes	<p>A graphic has been added to the Delivery Program which provides a list of capital project by locality.</p> <p>Council's Delivery Program includes more than \$37m worth of capital works over the three-year term. This figure does not include the regular maintenance works undertaken on roads across the Queanbeyan-Palerang region, of which much will be spent around the Braidwood area.</p> <p>In comparison, \$19.2m has been allocated to projects in Bungendore.</p> <p>Council will continue to apply for grant funding for projects, where applicable.</p>	No further action required
DP6	<p>Full submission I have gone through the plan and can find no mention of Royalla or QPRC plans for or in Royalla. I have been advised that the current balance for the section 94 contributions for Royalla Common is just over 30K. I can find no planned spend of this money in either 2018-10 or 2020-21. Can I assume that there is no planned budget under urban landscapes for the next two years? Or is provision in another "project".</p>	Service Manager, Urban Landscapes	<p>The concept of installing a playground in Royalla, alongside of the Royalla Hall, is supported and should be progressed in consultation with the Royalla Hall s.355 committee. Use of section 94 funds would be appropriate, however, available funds would be insufficient to construct a playground that meets current standards. It is suggested that the remaining funds be raised via the community or from an alternate contribution</p>	<p>Allocate \$80,000 in the Delivery Program for the construction of a playground at Royalla. Funding mix: \$17,511 – Section 94 funding \$40,000 – community fundraising/contribution \$22,489 – revenue funding</p> <p>Project is reliant on community fundraising/contribution being provided.</p> <p>Staff recommend the development of playground design concepts and budget estimates in consultation with the s.355 Committee for a grant submission.</p> <p>Budget impact: \$17,511 – Section 94 funding \$40,000 – community fundraising/contribution \$22,489 – revenue funding</p>
DP7	<p>Full submission It is suggested that provision be made in 2018/19 for a playground at Royalla common. At least \$75k be allocated. Royalla has an active younger population, but there is no focal point for children to join together in the area. There is space at the common and any playground built to the appropriate Australian Standard would be welcome and fit within the common master plan.</p>	Service Manager, Urban Landscapes	See response to DP6	See response to DP6

Submission summary – draft Integrated Plans

Submission No	Submission (full/summary)	Responsible officer	Staff response	Staff recommendation
DP8	<p>Full submission This submission has been prepared by the Community Development team at QPRC. Our team is responsible for the implementation of the QPRC Disability Inclusion Action Plan (DIAP). The DIAP outlines actions designed to actively address barriers faced by people with disability in all age groups. The success of the DIAP starts with its alignment to local government planning and reporting and the QPRC IP&R documentation should clearly outline our intent in regards to disability inclusion.</p> <p>All Councils have a DIAP in place. It is critically important that disability inclusion is reflected and melded within Council's IP&R documentation to ensure transparency, ownership and progress towards cross-departmental implementation. Our submission relates to the integration of disability inclusion and access into the QPRC Delivery and Operational Plans, please refer to suggestions below:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All reference to the word 'disabled' to be changed to 'People with Disability' e.g. page 34. The QPRC Community Team strongly advocates for inclusive language to be reflected in our written material. 2. We strongly advocate to amend the service objective against Service 2. Community on page 34 to better reflect QPRC's role in implementing disability inclusion and access within the region. Suggested wording: "Develops a sense of community through advocacy, support and provision of programs and services for children, youth, indigenous and people with disability, as well as undertaking social planning, community arts and cultural activities. It also establishes a level of trust and service satisfaction with the community through multi-channelled, targeted and well-placed community engagement." 3. Page 37 of the Operational Plan, under Performance Measures - Strategic Pillar 1. We strongly advocate for the inclusion of a Disability Inclusion Action Plan output and associated indicators and targets. Scope: Annual implementation program developed and implemented (four initiatives p.a) Performance: Ongoing implementation of initiatives identified in the DIAP Target: At least 4 initiatives p.a 4. Equally, disability inclusion should not sit in isolation within Strategic Pillar 1. We are connected and coordinated across the whole of QPRC. Inclusion and access needs to be considered a core component reflected across the other Strategic Pillars in order to build ownership and achieve the cultural shift of building inclusion into QPRC systems and processes. For this reason, we strongly advocate for the inclusion of disability performance measures within all five Strategic Pillars. Specifically, Service 11 (Pillar 2), Service 21, 23 & 26 (Pillar 3), Service 31, 35 & 36 (Pillar 4) and Service 41 & 42 (Pillar 5). 	Service Manager, Customer and Communication	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Staff agree with this request 2. Staff agree with this request 3. Staff agree with this request 4. While staff agree that disability inclusion is a Council-wide responsibility, it is recommended that disability inclusion remain under Strategic Pillar 1. Many other activities of Council, including communications, Integrated Planning and Reporting, customer service and People apply to all Strategic Pillars, however to Council's Integrated Plans have been developed in a way where services and projects must be aligned to one specific Strategic Pillar. In this case, disability inclusion is housed under Strategic Pillar 1, however staff recognise its importance across the entire Council. 	<p>For 1 and 2 – staff to amend p44 and p34 of the DP and OP. Also, Program 2.5 in the Community Service Statement will need to be amended to 'Disability' to be consistent with the changes.</p> <p>If 3 is implemented as requested it is recommended that one of the Community Indicators as set out in the Table on p37 of the OP be deleted. This will ensure that the number of service output indicators is kept at 80.</p>
DP9	<p>Full submission We are well overdue for children's playground in Royalla! There are plenty of young children out here. A children's playground is a great way to meet other residents and children meet other children in the area. Being rural and having no school often it can be a lonely place without friends for children. Parents and grandparents would become aquatinted. Please make this a priority. Royalla is many years old and this should have been built long ago.</p>	Service Manager, Urban Landscapes	See response to DP6	See response to DP6
DP10	<p>Woolcara Lane off Captains Flat Road desperately need fixing properly. Sealed with bitumen. Not the stop gap fill in the potholes and grade every so often approach that is being currently implemented the corrugations now are horrendous.</p>	Service Manager, Transport and Facilities	The sealing of Woolcara Lane has not been included in the draft Delivery Plan. Woolcara Lane is approximately 11.81km long. The estimated cost to widen and seal a 1km section of Woolcara Lane is approximately \$500k per km, with an estimated cost of \$5.9m to reconstruct and seal the full length.	<p>The project is not included in the Delivery Program, however will be considered along with other road improvements projects in line with the review of Council's Asset Management Plans.</p> <p>Council to consider, in accordance with priorities identified in the Asset Management Plans, creating an internally restricted reserve that would progressively fund the sealing of unsealed roads in future years.</p>
DP11	<p>See separate attachment to 28 June Council meeting for full submission</p> <p>Video provided by submitter has been provided to councillors</p> <p>Summary of submission Resident seeking development of an off-street carpark at Barracks Flat Park to reduce congestion, improve safety and improve access to and from residential driveways</p>	Service Manager, Transport and Facilities and Service Manager, Urban Landscapes	There is a clear need for off street parking at the Barracks Flat Playground. With the recent upgrades, this park has become increasingly popular and vehicle numbers have out stripped on street parking.	<p>Council consider allocation \$90,000 for a light duty car park at the southern end of the playground.</p> <p>Budget impact: \$90,000 in 2019-20 funded from revenue.</p>

Submission summary – draft Integrated Plans

Submission No	Submission (full/summary)	Responsible officer	Staff response	Staff recommendation
DP12	Full submission Under the Strategic Pillar 'Connection' at tonight's meeting to consider the Operational Plan and Program Delivery Plan I raised the issue of the unfinished footpath that travels from the western end of Turallo Terrace (TT continuation on the Coast side of the railway line) to the underpass under the railway bridge over turallo Creek. The footpath needs a connecting piece (raised infrastructure) such that it joins up with the footpath adjacent to the dog lead free area which joins the village and Elmslea via the low-level crossing. The footpath provides alternative northern access to the village for residents living to the East of the railway.	Service Manager, Contracts and Projects	The land proposed for the path is under the control of John Holland (Rail Corridor) Permission would be needed with an access agreement covering construction and maintenance before the works could be considered for budget purposes. Any proposed works / design would need to be meet design criteria set by John Holland.	Approach John Holland with a view to gaining an access agreement to allow for design. Once design and approval was complete make budget allocation for the works. Progression of design and works is subject to a future report to Council.
DP13	Full submission As a resident of Royalla with small children I would love to have access to a playground at the Royalla common. Royalla has twice as many families with children than the state average and to have a playground near by would be great to bring all the families together as it can be quite isolating living out here. I would greatly appreciate if the council could consider this in the budget meeting Thank you	Service Manager, Urban Landscapes	See response to DP6	See response to DP6
DP14	Full submission As a ratepayer and resident in Royalla, I'd like to see council fast track a playground for our young ones in Royalla. My son and his friends here would have great fun at a local playground, and it would help young parents connect with each other, as a facility our community can enjoy.	Service Manager, Urban Landscapes	See response to DP6	See response to DP6
DP15	Full submission I think the council should build a playground in Royalla to accommodate the growing community of young and growing families.	Service Manager, Urban Landscapes	See response to DP6	See response to DP6
DP16	Full submission I am not commenting on integrated plans. I am commenting on the recycling shop in Bungendore. It used to be full and terrific to spend money there. I'm amazed it's making any money now as I have spent nothing there for a year or more as a result of it being so empty. I'm appalled at what good recyclables must be going to the landfill at Veolia. A lot of people relied on getting things there as they either could not afford to go to town or did not want to and you are not doing the community a service. You must be making a lot out of the tip fees at the gates to have become so slack about sending everything out of sight and out of mind into landfill.	Service Manager, Utilities	The role of the buyback centre is to assist in diverting potentially reusable "waste" from landfill. It is one of a number of strategies supported by the General Waste charge. It is not a Council business venture and as such is not principally in place as a revenue generating service. The service relies on a balanced ingoings and outgoings of quality products. The quantity of stock held on the floor at any one time depends solely on the status of this balance. Particular care however needs to be exercised by Council, especially in the case where holdings are high. A recent safety review concluded that the level of stocking was excessive to the point that it compromised both employee and public safety. Particular mention was made on compromised paths of access and egress as well as potential issues in respect of fire safety. These were addressed through the reorganisation of stock, including in some cases, the disposal of long held and bulky products. Arrangements are now in place to more proactively manage stock into the future with a particular emphasis on timely turnover, customer trends and inventory type. This is an appropriate strategy given the context of the aim of the facility and the finite operational floor space with which it must work.	No further action required
DP17	Full submission I would like to request two items but will address them individually. Firstly: With Bungendore growing population it is also seeing more and more pets. On numerous occasions my family and I have found a roaming dog, sometimes two like we did the night of Monday 7th May. My daughter took the two kelpie male dogs to the local Bungendore vets but was told because they were not microchipped that they could not house them. On her return home she told me what had been said. I told her she must have misunderstood them at the Bungendore vets, so I immediately rang to ask if they could house them. I also was told that they would not house them because the dogs were not microchipped. With 7 rescue animals of our own, 3 dogs and 4 cats and only one dog crate to accommodate a stray in an emergency. On this occasion we could not house them. We have been able to house many dogs until the next morning until the vets opened or the owner was found. There has been a page called Bungendore lost and found on Facebook that is always active, most recently with stray dogs, lost cats and even a goat. I feel that an animal pound/safe place is needed in Bungendore for the times when people can not house them themselves. As an hour round trip to Queanbeyan Pound is not always achievable although my daughter did on Monday evening, some others may just let animal go again. As a responsible pet owner myself I know full well education is first and foremost, yet I understand sometimes some animals are escape artists or subject to unforeseen circumstances for example, the wind blowing down a panel in the gate and they get out. Please could this be considered for the Bungendore community. Secondly: The dog park in Bungendore is next to a road and I would like to request that the off leash area is fenced for the safety of all. If two dogs are playing just like children they can get distracted and venture onto the road as it is so close. I would like to request two sections of fenced areas one for small dogs and one for large dogs.	Service Manager, Customer and Communication and Service Manager Urban Landscapes	Location to drop roaming dogs in Bungendore Council's Animal Management Officers have had discussions with the Bungendore Vet and an agreement has been established whereby Bungendore residents are able to take roaming dogs to the vets afterhours, weekends and holidays. Animal Management Officers will collect dogs from the vet and transport them to Queanbeyan. Fencing off the Bungendore dog off-lead area Fencing off the Bungendore dog off-lead area could be done in two ways. Fences along boundaries to prevent dogs running on to roads but open into the park, is one option. The other is to fence the area into a formal Dog Park. This second option has merit but is estimated \$45,000 would be required to fence two compounds, supply water and signage. Seating and shelter for dog owners would also be required, although these amenities could be staged.	Council consider allocating \$45,000 in the Delivery Program for a fenced dog park in Bungendore. Budget impact: \$45,000 in 2018-19 funded from revenue

Submission summary – draft Integrated Plans

Submission No	Submission (full/summary)	Responsible officer	Staff response	Staff recommendation
DP18	Full submission As someone with young children who visit many friends and family in Royalla I believe the community would greatly benefit from a playground and is at a disadvantage for currently not having one!	Service Manager, Urban Landscapes	See response to DP6	See response to DP6
DP19	Full submission I am rather disappointed that no funds have been assigned to the Royalla area in this current plan. I myself have three young children and have many friends and family that visit with their own children. I feel that the area is in dire need of a public playground where the children of Royalla can play and explore. A playground created at the Royalla Common would be such a beneficial addition to the community; bringing families together as a place to meet and get to know one another. It is actually quite amazing that a residential area with so many young families has gone without such a facility for nearly two decades! As I understand it, prior to the approval for the subdivision certificate of the development under DA.2016.203 on Booth Road in Royalla, \$5010 must be paid to QPRC by the developer for the "provision of community facilities". Is it possible that this cash injection could be put towards a playground at the Royalla Common? I have spoken to many members of the community about this and there is an overwhelming preference for this happen as soon as possible. I hope this can be strongly considered, and the budget altered to reflect as such.	Service Manager, Urban Landscapes	See response to DP6	See response to DP6
DP20	Full submission A playground for Royalla and surrounding residents would be a great asset to the community with so many young families and children around	Service Manager, Urban Landscapes	See response to DP6	See response to DP6
DP21	Full submission I have family and friends in the area of Royalla and we are planning on moving to this area in the coming year or so. I believe Royalla is in need of a park/playground area for children. The area is full of young children and you have to drive to Calwell ACT or Googong for a playground.	Service Manager, Urban Landscapes	See response to DP6	See response to DP6
DP22	Full submission A playground for Royalla would be fabulous	Service Manager, Urban Landscapes	See response to DP6	See response to DP6
DP23	Full submission New Surface Irrigation System for Mick Sherd Oval, Bungendore I write in support of Council's decision to include a new surface irrigation system for Mick Sherd Oval in the Draft Delivery Program 2018-2021 (determined at the 23rd May Council meeting). Mick Sherd Oval is an integral part of our community. The current state of the oval, due to the high demand, type of water used and recent weather conditions proves the current irrigation system and water used is no longer viable. A new surface irrigation system will allow Council to rehabilitate the surface, making it playable and safer for all who it use.	Service Manager, Urban Landscapes	See response to DP4	See response to DP4
DP24	Full submission Following last night meeting. I commend the councils plan to put in a new irrigation system at Mick Sherd oval however this does not fix a long standing problem. The town's sports facilities are totally inadequate. Sadly It's not just new irrigation that's needed. It's a new sports facility in addition to the already over used Mick Sherd Oval. While irrigation will help with grass growth. A damp or more watered park will turn from a dust bath into a muddy quagmire with the use it currently receives and go from one extreme to another. It will not help with the maintenance and over use of the current facility. Bungendore simply needs new/another facility. We have 2 rugby clubs with dozens of age groups kids to seniors training, using and playing matches. We have adult soccer using the field. There are 200 kids soccer training over multiple days/nights and playing matches in Bungendore. There are two village cricket teams that can't play home matches here. That's before we even touch on general public use. It's a disgrace to our community. For a town with the highest council rates around its simple unacceptable.	Service Manager, Urban Landscapes	See response to DP4 The new Sporting Hub should overcome most of the issues experienced at Bungendore.	See response to DP4
DP25	Full submission 1) I would like to see a playground for the Royalla Common. This would provide a better sense of community and provide increased opportunity for families and community to utilise the common more effectively 2) Providing plantings within the roundabouts and verge including up-keep would also improve the aesthetic of Royalla	Service Manager, Urban Landscapes	See response to DP6 Planting and maintaining gardens in roundabouts at Royalla is beyond Council's current resources. Similar requested had been supported in Little Burra over past years with community volunteering to maintain the gardens. Over time these become unmaintained and Council has had to remove some.	See response to DP6

Submission summary – draft Integrated Plans

Submission No	Submission (full/summary)	Responsible officer	Staff response	Staff recommendation
DP26	<p>Full submission</p> <p>MR270 (Captains Flat Rd) is one of only a few crossing of the Great Dividing Range in southern NSW (Kangaroo Valley, Kings Hwy, Captains Flat/MR270 and Brown Mountain) and it is at best a goat track that deteriorates quickly after its regular grading. It is also used by all traffic between the coast and ACT region when the Kings Highway is closed between Braidwood and Queanbeyan and has been the only way through on several occasions (usually MVAs) over the years I have lived in the area. Back when Tallaganda Shire Council was forcibly amalgamated with Yarrawlumla SC to form Palerang Council, councillors of the two areas demanded that the MR270 range crossing be upgraded and sealed if the two areas were to be amalgamated. Following the amalgamation, nothing was heard of it. The extreme south east portion of the now QPRC between the Coastal Escarpment and the Great Dividing Range is still a remote area (despite being only 68km from QBN) with so much to offer in terms of tourism and land opportunities being less than an hour from Queanbeyan. Upgrading and sealing MR270 across the range would open up this area to visitors and investment, decrease travelling time between the area and Queanbeyan / Canberra and provide a decent alternative coast to inland route when the Kings Highway is closed. I am wondering if this important road ever rates a mention at council meetings and council submissions to the state and federal governments for upgrading, and if not why not. Thanks.</p>	Service Manager, Transport and Facilities	The sealing of Captains Flat Road has not been included in the draft Delivery Plan. The unsealed section of Captains Flat Road between Captains Flat and Cooma Road is approximately 21.1km long. This section of road will require some major land resumptions and bulk earthworks, with an estimated cost of \$1.2m/km. Total cost to seal the remaining section of Captains Flat Road is \$25.32m.	<p>The project is not included in the Delivery Program, however will be considered along with other road improvements projects in line with the review of Council's Asset Management Plans.</p> <p>Council to consider, in accordance with priorities identified in the Asset Management Plans, creating an internally restricted reserve that would progressively fund the sealing of unsealed roads in future years</p>
DP27	<p>Full submission</p> <p>New Surface Irrigation System for Mick Sherd Oval, Bungendore</p> <p>As President of the Bungendore Rugby Football Club, I write in support of Council's decision to include a new surface irrigation system for Mick Sherd Oval in the Draft Delivery Program 2018-2021 (determined at the 23rd May Council meeting). Mick Sherd Oval is an integral part of our community. The current state of the oval, due to the high demand, type of water used and recent weather conditions proves the current irrigation system and water used is no longer viable. A new surface irrigation system will allow Council to rehabilitate the surface, making it playable and safer for all who it use</p>	Service Manager, Urban Landscapes	See response to DP4	See response to DP4
DP28	<p>Full submission</p> <p>There appears to be no capital work spending allocated for Royalla in the coming financial year. I am aware that there is a master plan for the Royalla Hall that includes plans for a playground which the community desperately needs. As the community has grown to have twice the state average of families with children (ABS 2016 census Royalla profile). Currently, my nieces and nephew have to travel into Canberra or Queanbeyan just to enjoy a playground.</p>	Service Manager, Urban Landscapes	See response to DP6	See response to DP6
DP29	<p>Full submission</p> <p>As a Royalla resident and a grandmother, I am very aware of the community's desire to have a playground at the Royalla Common Community Hall. There are many families here who have expressed the need to have a place where mothers, fathers and grandparents can bring their children to play and to foster a sense of community and to use the Community Hall to the fullest.</p>	Service Manager, Urban Landscapes	See response to DP6	See response to DP6
DP30	<p>Full submission</p> <p>It would be lovely to have a playground constructed at the Royalla Common.</p>	Service Manager, Urban Landscapes	See response to DP6	See response to DP6
DP31	<p>Full submission</p> <p>Can you please include a playground in the Draft Capital Works Plan for Royalla</p>	Service Manager, Urban Landscapes	See response to DP6	See response to DP6
DP32	<p>See separate attachment to 28 June Council meeting for full submission</p> <p>Summary of submission</p> <p>Request for the sealing of Saleyards Lane, Braidwood</p>	Service Manager, Transport and Facilities	The sealing of Saleyards Lane has not been included in the draft Delivery Plan. The unsealed section of Saleyards Lane is approximately 500m long. The estimated cost to reconstruct and seal Saleyards Lane is approximately \$250k.	<p>That Council allocate funding in 2019-20 to undertake these works.</p> <p>Budget impact: \$250,000 in 2019-20 from revenue</p>
DP33	<p>Full submission</p> <p>Install a bus shelter at the bus stops at Beltana Park and outside the school on Gorman Drive. Bring forward a second entry/exit for Googong to accommodate the growing community.</p>	Service Manager, Transport and Facilities	Council has included funding of \$82,000 for the installation of eight bus shelters across the Queanbeyan area, specifically Googong. Exact sites have not yet been determined, however this location will be considered. There are some issues with the location of the bus shelter at Beltana Park, however Council staff will look at options.	Consider locations raised by submitter for bus shelter

Submission summary – draft Integrated Plans

Submission No	Submission (full/summary)	Responsible officer	Staff response	Staff recommendation
DP34	<p>Full submission</p> <p>I have read QPRC's Draft Operational Plan 2018-19 with interest and congratulate council on its range of initiatives across such a diverse social landscape. There are some very important issues surrounding the expected increase in population that require considerable funding and resources and council has made a solid start to some of these. I also note a lack of initiatives that will make council's operations and our community in general more environmentally sustainable. There is acknowledgement in this document of some items that fall in this area but I believe the leadership ratepayers expect is missing. If perhaps major council projects are indeed incorporating best practice resources management and green energy production I suggest it be better communicated. Reading the operational plan the shine from so many potentially important projects is lost. As an example I bring to the attention of those responsible for this document features such as the advertising that council has 20km of bike path. In 2018, in an area of over 5,000sqkm and over 50,000 residents, this is a shamefully low figure and serves as an example of the long way we have to go to approach an acceptable level of environmentally sustainable development. I welcome information council may have regarding these concerns.</p>	Service Manager, Transport and Facilities	The document needs to better define the split between Off-road cycle paths, On-road cycle paths & Shared Paths. The total of these paths / routes all available for cycle use is well in excess of the 20km.	<p>Staff to update the information in the document.</p> <p>The Integrated Transport Strategy, when adopted, will address bike paths across QPRC.</p>
DP35	<p>Full submission</p> <p>It is essential that a spray irrigation solution for the watering of Mick Sherd Oval is included in the Council's operating plan for 2018/19. The current irrigation system that uses grey water through underground drippers is not fit for purpose as demonstrated by the stripping effect created by the system. Add to this problem is the fact that the system itself often breaks and causes areas of the oval to become water logged. These inadequacies are compounded by the huge amount of use the oval gets over winter.</p>	Service Manager, Urban Landscapes	See response to DP4	See response to DP4
DP36	<p>Full submission</p> <p>Request for the installation of exercise equipment at the playground at Queanbeyan Park. Resident has cited the exercise equipment at Henderson Road that is near to playground equipment that works well with families, playing and exercising together. Resident has suggested it go beside the hit up wall in the playground.</p>	Service Manager, Urban Landscapes	Staff estimate that installing exercise equipment at requested location would cost around \$70,000. Would require the removal of hit up courts.	That Council not allocate funding for exercise equipment at this site, however consideration should be given to equipment along the Queanbeyan river walk when that project is complete.
DP37	<p>Full submission</p> <p>Hi QPRC, I'm loving the updates of all the improvements popping up everywhere around town. My kids LOVE the new parks! I'm getting in touch as I'd like to speak with someone about making another improvement to our already awesome town - the Scar bike track. My family and I frequent this spot and really see that it could do with some TLC. Bike tourism is becoming a huge thing and I really see the Scar facility as an area with huge potential. Many people from Sydney (and further!) travel to ride Mt Stromlo every weekend as Canberra has some of the best trail riding in NSW. Unfortunately our other local trails at Majura and Sparrows are now being closed for logging and I genuinely see an opportunity for QBN to get a piece of the action. The hill that sits behind the existing bike track would be a perfect place for some 'flow trails' and the fact that the facility already has water and adequate parking makes it very attractive. I believe capital input would be relatively minimal - requiring time with a loader/backhoe to cut and move existing fill around. I would also be willing to assist with design as well as banding together volunteers for shovels and general labour. Perhaps a Queanbeyan MTB club? Many thanks. **please have a look at this link outlining what mountain bike tourism has done recently in Tasmania: https://www.google.com/amp/amp.abc.net.au/article/9153572</p>	Service Manager, Urban Landscapes	Staff agree with the concept of further upgrades to The Scar bike track and dog off lead area. The area referred to 'hill that sits behind the existing track' is currently private land, not a Council asset. This would also impact on Mt Jerrabomberra and would need to be considered alongside Mt Jerrabomberra Plan of Management.	<p>Continue maintenance of existing facilities. Plan for future upgrades as various grant funding becomes available.</p> <p>Staff recommend adding a project valued at \$50,000, however it would be reliant on grant funding</p> <p>Budget impact: \$50,000 in 2018-19 – grant funded</p>
DP38	<p>Full submission</p> <p>We have reviewed the draft Delivery Program 2018-21 and cannot identify any instances of where active travel (walking/cycling) infrastructure is being implemented to extend/connect the existing network. We note that there are upgrades to existing infrastructure (River path) as well as construction of new infrastructure where new roads (EDE) are being implemented however there are no plans to extend or 'join the dots' on the currently disjointed infrastructure around Queanbeyan. To that end we propose the following works be undertaken</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Extension of the existing off road shared path (Route J7) running from Jerrabomberra Shops to Unwin Ave along the green space parallel to Edwin Land Parkway to connect the community to Halloran Drive Oval. (~560m) 2. Commence construction of the off road shared path (Route J1) from Jerrabomberra roundabout to O'Sullivan Rd (~350m). 3. Construction of an off road shared path (~120m) from the existing path that ends at the bus stop at the bottom of Kinleyside Ave to connect to the Emergency access road out of Jerrabomberra (Route J1) 4. Completion of the last section of path along Tharwa Rd (Route M1), from near Metro Petroleum through to Canberra Ave (~250m). <p>Please let us know if you have any queries regarding any of the above.</p>	Service Manager, Contracts and Projects	These proposals will be included as feedback on the Integrated Transport Strategy	These proposals will be included as feedback on the Integrated Transport Strategy.

Submission summary – draft Integrated Plans

Submission No	Submission (full/summary)	Responsible officer	Staff response	Staff recommendation
DP39	<p>Full submission</p> <p>As Chair of the Royalla Common s355 Committee I have reviewed the Draft Integrated Plans and provide the following comments: - There appears to be no provision of capital works for the Royalla area, disappointing. - Community members have recently been asking why there is no playground at the Common for their children or grandchildren to play on. - The Master Plan for the Common includes two playgrounds. - Now that the Community Hall is complete the first playground at the Common will be the Committee's next priority to meet community expectations. - The development of a playground will provide families the opportunity to be active and enjoy the quality of the Common. - There are over 1,400 residents in the Royalla area and no playground facility. - Families now have to transport their children to Googong, Calwell or further to enjoy play facilities. The Royalla Common s355 Committee request that a minimum of \$80,000 be allocated for the development of a playground to the Common to meet the needs of the community. Thank you for considering</p>	Service Manager, Urban Landscapes	See response to DP6	See response to DP6
DP40	<p>Full submission</p> <p>Hi. As a resident in Jerrabomberra, it is disappointing to see the lack of development planned in our local area. The parks and playgrounds here are becoming dated and do not cater to children of a range of ages. Given the significant number of children in the area, I believe there needs to be funding put in to upgrading the parks and building new ones, and ensuring Jerrabomberra remains an attractive suburb for people to live in. Thank you.</p>	Service Manager, Urban Landscapes	All of Council's 74 playgrounds area on a cycle of asset renewal based on monthly safety inspections. In recent years, Council has upgraded the playgrounds at Stockyard Creek, Jerrabomberra Tennis courts and installed new equipment off Marina Court.	Staff will continue to evaluate playgrounds for upgrades and maintenance based on priority.
DP41	<p>Full submission</p> <p>As a representative of Bungendore community soccer club we can see that Bungendore is desperate for the new ovals. Essentially our club cannot expand without the new grounds, we have turned away at least 30 junior players as we need to cap our numbers due to the over use of Mick Sherd Oval. In regard to Mick Sherd Oval it is in a terrible state, particularly for soccer where our poor kids are not only tackling the skills needed in our game but the terrible bouncy dry surface. We desperately need remedial work on Mick Sherd Oval and additional grounds asap.</p>	Service Manager, Urban Landscapes	See response to DP4 The new Sporting Hub should overcome most of the issues experienced at Bungendore.	See response to DP4
DP42	<p>Full submission</p> <p>Adjust 2018-21 Capital Works Program to reflect resolution 157/18 from the 23 May Ordinary Meeting in regards to works associated with the Queanbeyan CBD Transformation. Changes include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Remove funding for Crawford Pedestrian Corridor (\$1.03m – reserve funded) from Delivery Program Move funding for Lowe Pedestrian Corridor (\$1.03m – reserve funding) from 2019-20 to 2020-21. Allocate \$14m (loan funding) to Morisset Carpark (\$7m in 2019-20 and 2020-21) Remove funding for Lowe Carpark (\$5.15m – loan funding) from Delivery Program Allocate \$3.5m (loan funding) in 2019-20 for Lowe Public Domain Adjust funding for Queanbeyan Head Office, Smart Hub and additional level for tenancy to see \$25m (head office), \$7m smart hub and \$10m (additional level) included in 2020-21 – all loan funding <p>Draft Delivery Program included \$45.417 worth of Queanbeyan CBD Transformation Works, while resolution 157/18 includes \$66.4m worth of works.</p> <p>Breakdown of funding sources Draft Delivery Program</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Grant - \$4.821 Rates - \$2.627m Reserve - \$2.060m Land sales - \$2.060m Loan - \$33.849 <p>Adjusted Delivery Program based 157/18</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Grant - \$2.246 Rates - \$1.597m Reserve - Nil Land sales - \$2.060m Loan - \$63.030 	Service Manager, Contracts and Projects	Adjustments have been endorsed by Council resolution	Make adjustments to Delivery Program

Submission summary – draft Integrated Plans

Submission No	Submission (full/summary)	Responsible officer	Staff response	Staff recommendation
DP43	<p>Full submission</p> <p>Submission deadline Your promotional information (including last Friday's e-newsletter) said submissions would be received up until 4 June. It has become common practice for governments to accept submissions in the evening of a stated date, having regard to common use of electronic communications. Your draft plans state the deadline is 4:30 pm on 4 June. I recommend that your information is consistent in future.</p> <p>In addition, Budget papers would be improved by showing the percentage increase or decrease in spending and revenue relative to the previous financial year.</p> <p>Reflecting community priorities Funding allocations don't appear to reflect community priorities, as outlined in operational plan. Three of the six priorities are: pedestrian and bike paths; protecting the environment; and sustainable and renewable energy and management of waste. Yet there is no evidence of allocating much, or any, funding to important elements of these priorities.</p> <p>Specifically:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - No additional bicycle parking facilities are proposed for Queanbeyan CBD near shops, e.g. near the Post Office, chemist, medical centre, supermarket, department store, and Crawford Centre. Failing to provide cycle parking close to these services sends a message that cycling is not a viable nor supported means of transportation. Lack of cycling infrastructure, including parking, discourages more people from switching to sustainable transport modes. - There is not a lot of detail about spending on paths and cycleways. What information is provided suggests that the only cycleway investment is for major projects in discrete locations and not extending the cycleway network as per the draft integrated transport strategy. - There is no investment in renewable energy or improving energy efficiency (e.g. through council planning instruments). - There is no funding to prepare new climate change action plans for the council and the community, although the council recently approved new plans being developed. - Proposing environmental offsets as a sustainable practice is not supported by the record of practice either in QPRC or Australia generally. Offsets are not a substitute for protecting ecologically significant lands, in particular those containing species and communities at risk of extinction. Ever-growing reliance on environmental offsets has caused the loss of critically endangered grasslands and woodlands in our local government area. <p>Transport When the council released the draft integrated transport strategy for public comment in December 2017, it said the timing (over the holiday period) was driven by the need to include spending in the 2018-19 budget. Where is this funding to implement the strategy included in these plans?</p> <p>Roadwork projects – Ellerton Drive Extension The EDE was approved by the Administrator; this was undemocratic.</p> <p>The Council should not be carrying the risk of a \$36 million loan to developers for their share of the road construction costs. There is no plan for what the council will do if the road costs more than the budgeted \$86 million and/or the developers fail to repay the loan.</p> <p>The way that residents living along the road route have been treated during road construction is inconsistent with the values the council espouses in the draft plans.</p> <p>Memorial Park on Burra Rd The memorial park at Burra should not proceed. There was inadequate consultation with directly affected residents about the proposal. This is another decision made during the undemocratic Administration period.</p> <p>It is not a convenient location for most of the city's residents to get to either for a funeral service or to visit a grave or other memorial. There is no public transport to the area and given the low population density it is difficult to envisage such services in the foreseeable future.</p> <p>While the site might be used by Googong residents, the new cemetery actually has to cater for the whole city. It needs to be in a more convenient location for the larger urban areas.</p>	Various Service Managers	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Submission deadline – comments noted • Reflecting community priorities – a table outlining Council's investment in the community priorities will be added to the final document • Bike Path – The extension of Cycle paths is in embedded inside major projects ie connection to Googong, Extension of the River Path. Council continues to apply for funding to extend the Active transport links closing missing links. • Renewable energy – Council has allocated \$50,000 for projects to reduce our energy consumption. In terms of community programs, the Environment and Sustainability Advisory Committee discussed at last meeting and are interested in reviewing what other authorities are providing in terms of rebates and loans. This could be reviewed in a revision of the Climate Change Action Plan. • Climate Change action plans – No funds provided for consultants in budget. The Environment & Sustainability Advisory Committee made a recommendation at last meeting that funds should be made available. This would be estimated at \$20,000. Otherwise it could be reviewed in house and consultation reduced to sending Drafts to recognised groups, on "Your Say" survey and placed on exhibition rather than running workshops. • Offsets – comments noted • Transport - The Draft Integrated Transport Strategy will on exhibition in July. • Ellerton Drive Extension – comments noted • Memorial Park – Significant consultation will be undertaken as the Planning Proposal progresses. 	Review of the Climate Change Action Plans will be done in-house using existing resources

Submission summary – draft Integrated Plans

Submission No	Submission (full/summary)	Responsible officer	Staff response	Staff recommendation
DP44	<p>See separate attachment to 28 June Council meeting for full submission</p> <p>Internal submission - summary Some changes to wording in the draft Operational Plan and draft Delivery Program</p> <p>Recommendation that project for Queanbeyan Bus Interchange be added</p> <p>Recommendation that Road Safety Projects be added to budget</p>	Service Manager, Customer and Communication	<p>Wording will be updated to reflect submission.</p> <p>Queanbeyan Bus Interchange project is allocated in 2017-18. Unspent funds will be rolled over to 2018-19 if required</p> <p>Road Safety Project has been added as a \$41,000 project which will be funded from grants and will be split into smaller projects when program is approved and funded by RMS</p>	<p>Update wording in Delivery Program</p> <p>Include \$41,000 for Road Safety Project</p> <p>Creation of a new fee for 'Creation of traffic control plan - \$50 per hour</p> <p>New fee to be placed on public exhibition</p> <p>Budget impact: \$41,000 in 2018-19 – grant funded</p>
DP45	<p>See separate attachment to 28 June Council meeting for full submission</p> <p>Internal submission - summary</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Request changes to section 3 'about us' to highlight some features of QPRC natural environment Request changes to page 10 – QPRC assets to include natural environment assets Requests amendments to significant functions and performance indicators for Natural Landscapes service 	Service Manager, Natural Landscapes and Health	Comments supported	Changes be made to Delivery Program and Operational Plan
DP46	<p>Full submission This is my submission of support for the new irrigation system at the Mick Sherd Oval in Bungendore. As this is the town's primary oval I feel the condition of this oval needs to be of a standard where the participants can play safely. Currently it is not! I would urge the council to way up the cost of improving the surface of the oval comparative to potential injury claims caused by its current state. thanks,</p>	Service Manager, Urban Landscapes	See response to DP4	See response to DP4
DP47	<p>Full submission It is suggested that provision be made in 2018-19 for a playground at Royalla common. At least \$75k be allocated. Royalla has an active younger population, but there is no focal point for children to join together in the area. There is space at the common and any playground built to the appropriate Australian Standard would be welcome and fit within the common master plan.</p>	Service Manager, Urban Landscapes	See response to DP6	See response to DP6
DP48	<p>Full submission Royalla Common needs a children's playground to complement the excellent hall that is now in service. Many of the residents like myself, have grandchildren who come to visit, and I understand there are a significant number of children in the area.</p> <p>A playground will help make the Royalla Common a focal point for the community.</p>	Service Manager, Urban Landscapes	See response to DP6	See response to DP6

Submission summary – draft Integrated Plans

Submission No	Submission (full/summary)	Responsible officer	Staff response	Staff recommendation
DP49	<p>See separate attachment to 28 June Council meeting for full submission</p> <p>Internal submission - summary Request that a number of performance and culture projects be added to the budget following removal during the finalisation of the draft budget process.</p>	Service Manager, Performance and Culture	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Based on the financial year 16/17, QPRC's annual training spend per full time equivalent (FTE) staff member of \$894 was below the NSW Councils' median of \$1094. Whilst we are not yet able to report on the training spend of organisations recently amalgamated given the requirement to harmonise the entire corporate induction process, workplace values and culture, leadership development, performance management, talent identification, diversity and inclusion, ethical and socially responsible behavior and a refresh of all mandatory/legislative training, it is estimated the required spend is \$1,800 per FTE during the first Delivery Program period. QPRC is a developing organisation striving for next practice, innovation and excellence in the delivery of services. Investing in the formative years will increase the capacity and capability of our people to deliver optimum outcomes for our community whilst also developing the talent required for the future. This approach also develops in-house capability to deliver similar programs moving forward. This approach also captures all programs in an online learning management system. The increase learning and development tapers off in year three and with increased internal capability and the development of in-house programs, the ongoing spend will also reduce. With the adoption of the new Model Code of Conduct and Code of Meeting Practice, Elected Member Development will be mandatory. It is proposed this will occur in this financial year. This is an additional feature added to the Learning program. In the last financial year there was an increase in 7% of NSW councils investing in a talent strategy. This proposal includes a sound approach to investing in future talent, essential given the skills shortages, ageing workforce and significant number of projects requiring effective delivery. 	<p>The projects outlined in the submission be added into the draft Operational Plan, with the exception of LG Performance Excellence Program.</p> <p>Budget impact: \$709,600 from revenue in 2018-19</p>
DP50	<p>Full submission As a Royalla resident and a grandmother, I am very aware of the community's desire to have a playground at the Royalla Common Community Hall. There are many families here who have expressed the need to have a place where mothers, fathers and grandparents can bring their children to play and to foster a sense of community and to use the Community Hall to the fullest.</p>	Service Manager, Urban Landscapes	See response to DP6	See response to DP6
DP51	<p>Full submission Internal submission Request for the allocation of \$15,000 for the development of a Visitor Guide for QPRC</p>	Service Manager, Business and Innovation	Staff support the submission and estimated that \$5,000 worth of advertising income will supplement the budget, resulting in a total project cost of \$20,000	<p>Inclusion of a project for \$20,000 for the development of a QPRC Visitor Guide.</p> <p>Budget impact: \$20,000 in 2018-19. \$15,000 funded from revenue, \$5,000 funded from advertising income</p>
DP52	<p>Pending Council meeting on 27 June 2018</p> <p>Full submission Recommendation from staff to 27 June meeting is: 1. Commit \$8m from Queanbeyan water and sewer reserves as its contribution to construct the infrastructure spine, should the NSW grant be successful and land owner agreements obtained</p>	Service Manager, Utilities	Funding would be provided from the Queanbeyan water and sewer reserves	Pending Council meeting on 27 June

Submission summary – draft Integrated Plans

Submission No	Submission (full/summary)	Responsible officer	Staff response	Staff recommendation
DP53	<p>Full submission</p> <p>Internal submission Council has been investigating ways to improve the ABC radio coverage in Braidwood, Captains Flat and surrounding areas for a number of years. Discussions with the Australian Communications and Media Authority provided some guidance which led to the appointment of a consultant to run some surveys and coverage simulation. This work was undertaken in July and resulted in a report which assesses and number of sites and suggests that either Mt Cronin or Mt Cowangerong would be the best location for some infrastructure.</p> <p>Neither of these sites are owned by Council and would require negotiation with the owner.</p> <p>The consultant has also provided advice on the way forward:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Option 1 – the consultant would install the infrastructure and use it with other FM services in the region. This would result in a monthly rent, usually on a 3-5 year lease agreement (cost dependent on arrangement with land owner) Option 2 – Council purchases the equipment, acquires some land and the consultant would progress ACMA licencing. This option is expected to cost upwards of \$100,000 <p>The NSW Government has announced some funding to enhance radio broadcasts across the state, however minimal information is available at this stage. It may be possible to seek grant funding via option 2.</p>	Service Manager, Customer and Communication	<p>This has been a long-term issue of the former Palerang Council and now QPRC and should be actioned and progressed.</p> <p>Staff suggest that option 1 is pursued with the consultant, however should grant funding become available option 2 will be considered.</p>	<p>That staff progress option 1 with the consultant, with a further report to come back to Council regarding any progression.</p> <p>That should a grant program open up, Council will consider applying for funding to progress option 2.</p>

Submission summary – draft Integrated Plans

Submission received prior to draft Delivery Program being exhibited

Submission No	Submission (full/summary)	Responsible officer	Staff response	Staff recommendation
Pre-DP1	<p>See separate attachment to 28 June Council meeting for full submission</p> <p>Summary of submission Seal The Forest Road, Bywong</p>	Service Manager, Transport and Facilities	The sealing of The Forest Road, Bywong is not included in the draft Delivery Program. The estimated cost to reconstruct and seal the remaining 1.25km of The Forest Road is \$625,000. To widen the existing sealed 1.25km section of The Forest Road is estimated to cost \$375,000. Total cost of \$1m.	<p>The project is not included in the Delivery Program, however will be considered along with other road improvements projects in line with the review of Council's Asset Management Plans.</p> <p>Council to consider, in accordance with priorities identified in the Asset Management Plans, creating an internally restricted reserve that would progressively fund the sealing of unsealed roads in future years</p>
Pre-DP2	<p>Full submission I write again to express my dismay at the state of this road surface on Bungendore Road, between Millyn Road and Macs Reef Road. The shoulders of the road are disintegrating quickly and it is now a dangerous road to travel along as vehicles are swerving to miss potholes and missing edges of the pavement. I urge Council to put this road reconstruction in the budget. It is a major link road.</p>	Service Manager, Transport and Facilities	The section of Bungendore Road between Emu Flat Road and Millyn Road has been included in the 2018-19 pavement rehabilitation program.	No further action required
Pre-DP3	<p>Full submission I am writing to express my concern with the condition of Williamsdale Road, Williamsdale. I have been a resident and rate payer of Williamsdale for the past 11 years. I would like to enquire as to when the road might be sealed. Every few months, I am continually witnessing maintenance being conducted (on the dirt road) with little to no improvement each time. These repairs would be costing the council a fortune with the road only being acceptable to travel on for approx. a week or so then it returns to its old corrugate, pot-hole self again.</p> <p>I am unsure if there has been any surveys conducted as to the amount of traffic this road carries per day, let alone the amount of accidents that have occurred. I am very upset that a new estate has been constructed and they get all the new facilities with brand new roads and we continually get nothing for our money. I do understand that it is our choice to live rurally, however a sealed road from the Williamsdale Quarry - Monaro Highway access to the other end reaching Burra is all that we are asking for. I'm sure if a survey was done to measure the amount traffic travelling this road, you might be quite surprised at how many vehicles travel along it each day(cars and trucks).</p> <p>I am asking if you could please look into this for the residents who commute back and forward each day to their homes, hoping that their car doesn't fall part from the corrugation or fall into a pot-hole breaking an axle. For you information the road was just worked on approx. a month ago or so ago and is already in need of repair. I phoned up last week advising of the condition of the road and today a gentleman from the maintenance department called me today to advised that he has inspected and that he will try and have it repaired ASAP. In the meantime I am concerned the nuts and bolts of my poor little car will fall out.</p>	Service Manager, Transport and Facilities	The sealing of Williamsdale Road has not been included in the draft Delivery Plan. Williamsdale Road has two sections of unsealed pavement. The first section from Badgery Road to 430m past Keewong Lane is approximately 2.3km long. The estimated cost to reconstruct and seal this section of pavement is \$2.3m. The second section of unsealed pavement at the Burra Road end of Williamsdale Road is approximately 3.5km long. This section of road will require some major land resumptions and bulk earthworks, with an estimated cost of \$4.0m. Total cost to seal the remaining sections of Williamsdale Road is \$7.5m.	<p>The project is not included in the Delivery Program, however will be considered along with other road improvements projects in line with the review of Council's Asset Management Plans.</p> <p>Council to consider, in accordance with priorities identified in the Asset Management Plans, creating an internally restricted reserve that would progressively fund the sealing of unsealed roads in future years.</p>

Submission summary – draft Integrated Plans

Submission No	Submission (full/summary)	Responsible officer	Staff response	Staff recommendation
Pre-DP4	<p>Full submission BRAIDWOOD TOURISM Braidwood is badly in need of a fully funded Visitors Information Centre with Tourism Officer. Braidwood & Villages Tourism Assoc. Inc. has provided this service in a volunteer capacity for many years. (Previously as Tourism Tallaganda and as a s355 Committee).</p> <p>The current VIC is operating extremely well and better than it has for the last 15 years, with exceptional work by very few volunteers. We do what we can, but there is so much more to do. Volunteer burnout is a problem. The current site has some advantages, however lends itself more to a community centre for locals than a place of first contact for tourists. I believe the best place for a new VIC is in Ryrie Park beside the public toilets. An extra room could be purpose built alongside the toilets and NPWS sign boards.</p> <p>Advantages:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Where people park • Already has facilities • Frees up car parking near business in centre town • Space for long vehicles to park • Closer to Museum as major historic attraction • Cheaper alternative than other suggested – such as the Courthouse (which would involve tourists having to cross the highway) • Could be part of the Main Street Upgrades funding. <p>Alternatively, the old Westpac office/meeting room at the front of the Literary Institute would also make an excellent VIC. This would be the cheapest option as it is already WHS compliant.</p>	Service Manager, Economic and Business Liaison	A Visitor Services Review is currently being undertaken to review the current situation of visitor services in Queanbeyan-Palerang. This Review will investigate current service level and any opportunities for change	q
Pre-DP5	<p>Full submission – see DP2 Construction of a storage shed at Rockley Oval, Googong</p>	Service Manager, Urban Landscapes	See response to DP2	See response to DP2
Pre-DP6	<p>Full submission – see DP2 Construction of a storage shed at Brad Haddin Oval, Queanbeyan</p>	Service Manager, Urban Landscapes	See response to DP2	See response to DP2
Pre-DP7	<p>Full submission – see DP2 Construction of a garage at Freebody Oval, Queanbeyan</p>	Service Manager, Urban Landscapes	See response to DP2	See response to DP2
Pre-DP8	External painting of Captains Flat Community Hall	Service Manager, Transport and Facilities	This project has been included in the Delivery Program. An amount of \$18,600 has been included in the budget to complete the external painting of the Captains Flat Community Hall.	No further action required
Pre-DP9	<p>See separate attachment to 28 June Council meeting for full submission Summary of submission Installation of exercise equipment at Captains Flat</p>	Service Manager, Urban Landscapes	Staff have conducted consultation on facilities for Captains Flat and have a lengthy list of desires. Exercise equipment could be installed in the park, however costs would be approximately \$60,000	Council consider allocating funding as part of the Delivery Program

Submission summary – draft Integrated Plans

Submission No	Submission (full/summary)	Responsible officer	Staff response	Staff recommendation
Pre-DP10	<p>See separate attachment to 28 June Council meeting for full submission</p> <p>Summary of submission</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Macs Reef Rd – reconstruct from 916-845 Macs Reef Rd - \$250,000 2. Macs Reef Rd – heavy patching of shoulder and localised failures - \$100,000 3. Weeroona Dr – Reconstruction of failed pavements - \$200,000 4. Denley Dr – reconstruction of failed pavements - \$80,000 5. Norton Rd – heavy patching on shoulder failures - \$200,000 6. Table drain works to improve drainage - \$200,000 7. Line marking on missing areas across Wamboin - \$15,000 per year 8. Removal of roadside vegetation for sight distance safety - \$50,000 per year 9. Removal of roadside litter and waste for fire safety - \$50,000 per year 10. Additional locally focused weed control - \$10,000 per year 11. Update, remove and replace tourism signage - \$25,000 12. Budget for greenways repair of eroded areas and maintenance - \$20,000 per year 	Service Manager, Transport and Facilities	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This section of road has been surveyed and is currently being designed for possible rehabilitation in 2018-19. Roads to Recovery funds are to be utilised to complete the rehabilitation works. 2. Council has an operational budget of \$40,000 to undertake heavy patching works along Bungendore Road and Macs Reef Road. This money is funded through the Regional Roads Block Grant. 3. The reconstruction of Weeroona Drive has not been included in the Delivery Program. 4. Council has an operational budget of \$789,200 to undertake sealed pavement repairs and heavy patching works on our local roads network. This budget needs to be prioritised across the entire QPRC local roads network. 5. Council has an operational budget of \$789,200 to undertake sealed pavement repairs and heavy patching works on our local roads network. An operational budget of \$74,500 exists for shoulder patching on Local Roads. These budgets need to be prioritised across the entire QPRC local roads network. 6. Council has an operational budget of \$77,660 to undertake shoulder grading and drainage clearing works on our local roads network. This budget needs to be prioritised across the entire QPRC local roads network. 7. Council has an operational budget of \$59,700 to undertake line marking works on our local roads network. This budget needs to be prioritised across the entire QPRC local roads network. 8. Council has an operational budget of \$177,650 to undertake roadside vegetation works on our local roads network. This budget needs to be prioritised across the entire QPRC local roads network. 9. The collection of roadside litter is included in the draft Delivery Program in a number of locations, namely; under Palerang General Waste Operations (\$112,000), Queanbeyan Litter Control (\$10,750) and the RMAP (State Roads) (\$76,000). Whilst the intention is always to undertake these works periodically through Council's own day labour resources; given limitations; it is likely that the service will be delivered through labour hire at various times throughout the 2018-19 financial year. 10. There are two directions for Biosecurity Weed control. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) Biosecurity Inspections – Inspection of private land with a five-year cycle. Looking at ways improving efficiency. The focus with the new Biosecurity Act is risk based focusing on protection of natural and endangered sites. b) Biosecurity Weed Spraying – Program in place rotating roadsides and Council owned land now focusing on controlling pest plants in locations of biosecurity risk. 11. Council staff are currently developing a draft Signage Policy which will address matters such as this. Also, Canberra Region Joint Organisation is investigating options to renew old signage across the region that references the previous 'Capital Country' identity which no longer exists after the NSW Government restructure of Regional Tourism Organisations 12. Staff have allocated \$10,000 per year in Council's Urban Landscapes budget for repairs and maintenance of greenways across the Local Government Area, including Bywong/Wamboin. 	No further action required
Pre-DP11	<p>See separate attachment to 28 June Council meeting for full submission</p> <p>Summary of submission</p> <p>Progression of a new scout hall at Jerrabomberra</p>	Service Manager, Urban Landscapes	Council is considering options for the redevelopment of the scout hall.	No further action required
Pre-DP12	<p>See separate attachment to 28 June Council meeting for full submission</p> <p>Summary of submission</p> <p>Bungendore town centre upgrades, including:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Relocation of powerlines 2. Use of recycled water to irrigate verges 3. Introduction of zebra crossing 4. Construction of central carparking area 	Service Manager, Urban Landscapes	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Council could write to Essential Energy seeking underground power, but has little influence to force this to occur. 2. At present, Council recycled water cannot be used on verge watering. Refer this request to the Integrated Water Cycle Management Plan for Bungendore. 3. Refer to RMS Warrants 4. Agreed, Project is in Delivery Program 	No further action required

Submission summary – draft Integrated Plans

Draft Fees and Charges/Revenue Policy					
Submission No	Submission (full/summary)	Document	Responsible officer	Staff response	Staff recommendation
FC1	<p>See separate attachment to 28 June Council meeting for full submissions</p> <p>Summary of submission Amendments to waste charges in draft fees and charges Harmonisation of fees for mobile bin replacement/replacement of lost or stolen bins</p> <p>Draft charge Ex-Qbn 140l replacement - \$87 Ex Pal 140l replacement - \$86 Ex-Qbn 240l replacement - \$120 Ex Pal 240l replacement - \$98 Ex-Qbn 360l replacement - \$125 Ex Pal 360l replacement - \$108</p> <p>Proposed charge QPRC 140l replacement \$87 QPRC 240l replacement - \$120 QPRC 340l replacement - \$125</p>	Draft Fees and Charges	Service Manager, Utilities	<p>Bin replacement charge: To harmonise fee and reduce process confusion and errors.</p> <p>One-Off Collection Fee: This fee in Queanbeyan has been used if people have missed a collection due to their error, or contaminated their bins and still want them emptied or need an extra service. This fee is also a deterrent for contaminating bins or expecting council to come out and collect bins when people have not been bothered to put the bins out on time.</p>	Fees and charges be updated as per submission
	<p>Extend One-Off Collection fee of \$43 to residents in the former Palerang area and business waste customers</p> <p>See separate attachment to 28 June Council meeting for full submissions</p>				
FC2	<p>Summary of submission Adjustment to a range of fees submitted by the Royalla s.355 Committee</p> <p>Braidwood Recreation Ground – Multipurpose room</p>	Draft Fees and Charges	Service Manager, Transport and Facilities	Amendments are supported by staff	Fees and charges be updated as per submission
FC3	<p>Remove '4 hours' from fee title, change fee basis to per term and amend note to read <i>Fee applies only for regular bookings 10 per school term, maximum of four hours per booking</i></p> <p>New fee Lights Braidwood Recreation Ground - \$10 per hour</p>	Draft Fees and Charges	Service Manager, Transport and Facilities	Amendments are supported by staff	<p>Fees and charges be updated as per submission</p> <p>New fee to be publicly exhibited for 28 days</p>
FC4	<p>See separate attachment to 28 June Council meeting for full submissions</p> <p>Summary of submission A number of minor changes to Fees and Charges</p> <p>Introduction of new fees for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Where a solid fuel heater appliance is included with construction certificate application Fee for advertising proposed street names 	Draft Fees and Charges	Service Manager, Development	Amendments are supported by staff	<p>Fees and charges be updated as per submission</p> <p>New fees to be publicly exhibited for 28 days</p>
FC5	Confidential staff submission – full submission available as a confidential document	Draft Fees and Charges	Service Manager, Development	Amendments are supported by staff	Fees and charges be updated as per submission
FC6	<p>Full submission I have looked at the hall hire costs comparing Wamboin and Bywong Hall. Council should have the same arrangements for hall hire costs for both halls. Considering Bywong Hall is significantly smaller the higher hire prices seems to be a bit unfair. There is also no incentive for locals to hire the Bywong hall as there is no "local" rates as per the Wamboin hall hire costs. If Council wishes to cover more costs with these facilities they need to make the hiring affordable for local residents like Wamboin hall has. \$7.00 per use up to three hours for the community assn at Wamboin where as an event at Bywong hall for library would that be \$25/hour?? or come under the bywong community annual charge which if the same as Wamboin should be 75 hours worth of meetings and activity (not inc the car boot sale). and the Wamboin hall doesn't even have reference to charges for events like the Saturday markets and the recent car show Wheels of Wamboin is that \$7/3 hours as Bywong Assn has to pay \$150/event!!!! there is no equity in this at all and there needs to be some redress with the s355 committees if they do not look into this issue and the unfairness and inequity of hall hire costs. Then compare the Bywong hall to Bungendore and Bungendore Community Centre is still appearing to be cheaper. Clearly Bywong is being ripped off and it is inequitable.</p>	Draft Fees and Charges	Service Manager, Transport and Facilities	Fees for the Wamboin and Bywong Community Halls are set by the relevant Section 355 Committees and are based on the facilities that each facility has available.	No further action required
FC7	<p>Full submission As resolved at Council meeting on 23 May 2018, Council will continue to waive the annual fee per square metre for outdoor dining for the duration of the NSW Outdoor Dining Trial. The trial runs until 31 December 2018.</p>	Draft Fees and Charges	Service Manager, Business and Innovation	Staff support the Council resolution and recommend the waiving of the fee be extended until the end of the 2018-19 financial year to assist administrative processes	The annual fee per square metre for outdoor dining be waived for the 2018-19 financial year

Submission summary – draft Integrated Plans

Submission No	Submission (full/summary)	Document	Responsible officer	Staff response	Staff recommendation
FC8	<p>Full submission</p> <p>Change of wording to the following fees and charges</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sale of Decomposed shredded Green waste – Change to – Sale of Soil Conditioner • Sale of Pasturised Kerbside Green waste - Change to - Bulk sale of Shredded green waste • Also please remove the WAMI acronym and only use Waste Minimisation Centre for all reference to Waste Minimisation Centre 	Draft Fees and Charges	Service Manager, Utilities	Amendments are supported by staff	Fees and charges be updated as per submission
FC9	<p>Full submission</p> <p>I read in the Overview of the Draft Revenue Policy that the Braidwood water rates charges will increase above the council average due to the implementation of a different costing model. Charging users a real cost for town water can cause ratepayers to practice better conservation of this limited resource. A positive development. However I question council's model that benefits ratepayers who use more water not less. We are in an age of acknowledged climate change and increased pressure on limited natural resources and this is the opposite direction council should be heading. I can only see a discounted revenue raising model here. Where is the incentive to lower my impact on council's infrastructure and services? I seek council's explanation as to why this model is of benefit to rate payers and the community. I look forward to your response.</p>	Draft Revenue Policy	Service Manager, Finance	<p>In March 2011, NSW Office of Water issued a circular to local water utilities for the simplification of water pricing requirements. One of the simplifications to the Best Practice Guidelines was the:</p> <p><i>Removal of the requirement for LWUs to adopt an inclining block tariff for their residential customers.</i></p> <p>The circular stated</p> <p><i>Item 1 above simplifies LWU water pricing and provides greater flexibility to LWUs by removing the requirement for adopting an inclining block tariff for their residential customers2. However, the existing strong pricing signals in NSW will be maintained through the requirement to raise at least 75% of residential revenue from water usage charges for LWUs over 4,000 connected properties. Smaller LWUs will continue to be required to raise at least 50% of such revenue from usage charges.</i></p> <p>QPRC is now fully compliant with the circular from NSW Office of Water.</p> <p>In determining the charge per kilolitre, 2.3% indexation was added to the projected income in the 2016-17 financial year for the Braidwood, Bungendore and Captains Flat area. This was then divided by the total consumption across the Bungendore, Braidwood and Captains Flat areas.</p>	No further action required
FC10	<p>Full submission</p> <p>I would like to make comment in regards to the increase in the administration and educator levy proposed for Queanbeyan Family Day Care. Whilst I am pleased council has honoured what was proposed previously, I still question what resources we get for the money we pay. We have previously made extreme cutbacks to the running of the scheme eg cutting playgroup, also the toy and equipment library so were is the money spent. I would like to note that a CDO is now setting up and assisting with playgroup which I feel is great as it gives them more contact with the educators and the children and really to be competitive with so many schemes in the area we need to be offering something to new educators. I am also concerned with the prospect of having to pay for harmony to do our timesheets, which we have been told will be compulsory so again what is our educator levy paying for. I would like to comment on staffing the amount of times the answering machine is on or the office is unattended I personally feel is unacceptable. This is a business and I believe these practices are reflected in our waiting list and educators numbers. On a positive note I would like to say what an asset Terry Campese has been at organising events for educators to attend. In conclusion I'm not actually opposing the fee rise but feel we the educators deserve to know where our money is going. Thankyou</p>	Draft Fees and Charges	Service Manager, Community and Education	<p>Council considered changes to the Family Day Care fee structure a number of years ago due to changes to the funding model from the Federal Government. The Family Day Care scheme was originally a cost neutral exercise for Council, with the Government funding covering operating costs. Changes to the funding model meant the former Queanbeyan City Council had to consider fee increases to limit the impact the service had on ratepayers. At the time, it was agreed to introduce this via a staged approach. The 2018-19 financial year is the final year of this staged implementation.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Retain the proposed fee increase (the final year of the five year fee structure) 2. The fee for the upgraded Harmony Web (\$3.30 per week per educator) will not be passed on to Educators in the coming financial year. (it will be met within FDC budget) 3. FDC Coordination team to survey educators around the role, support and operation of the scheme.

Submission summary – draft Integrated Plans

Submission No	Submission (full/summary)	Document	Responsible officer	Staff response	Staff recommendation																																			
FC11	<p>Full submission</p> <p>As per the Companion Animals (Adjustable Fee Amounts) Amendment Notice 2018, the following fees will apply to Registration Fees for 2018-19</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">Desexed - \$57Not desexed - \$207Desexed (Pensioner) - \$24Desexed purchased from RSPCA/Council pound - \$28.50	Draft Fees and Charges	Service Manager, Customer and Communication	Fees are set by legislation	Fees and charges be updated as per submission																																			
FC12	<p>Full submission</p> <p>2018 Fees: Fernleigh Park Community Hall submitted by s.355 Committee</p> <table><tr><th></th><th><u>Use type</u></th><th><u>Hourly fee</u></th><th><u>Daily fee</u> <u>(up to 7.5 hours)</u></th><th><u>Bond</u></th></tr><tr><td>A</td><td>Fernleigh Park Resident *</td><td>\$25</td><td>\$50</td><td>\$150</td></tr><tr><td>C</td><td>Sponsored non-resident**</td><td>\$30</td><td>\$100</td><td>\$150</td></tr><tr><td>B</td><td>Community Organisation***</td><td>\$42</td><td>\$150</td><td>\$150</td></tr><tr><td colspan="2">A: Fernleigh Park resident *</td><td colspan="3">includes immediate family in attendance.</td></tr><tr><td colspan="2">B: Sponsored non-resident **</td><td colspan="3">bookings approved prior by committee representatives as agreed and includes FP resident recommending booking NOTE: no bookings for 21st or 18th B'days or similar events.</td></tr><tr><td colspan="2">C: Community Organisation ***</td><td colspan="3">bookings approved prior by committee includes FP resident recommending booking</td></tr></table> <p>NOTE:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">The committee reserves the right to accept or decline a booking.The committee may approve reduced fees for community organisations, businesses or groups providing services to local residents to support the viability of these services.		<u>Use type</u>	<u>Hourly fee</u>	<u>Daily fee</u> <u>(up to 7.5 hours)</u>	<u>Bond</u>	A	Fernleigh Park Resident *	\$25	\$50	\$150	C	Sponsored non-resident**	\$30	\$100	\$150	B	Community Organisation***	\$42	\$150	\$150	A: Fernleigh Park resident *		includes immediate family in attendance.			B: Sponsored non-resident **		bookings approved prior by committee representatives as agreed and includes FP resident recommending booking NOTE: no bookings for 21 st or 18 th B'days or similar events.			C: Community Organisation ***		bookings approved prior by committee includes FP resident recommending booking			Draft Fees and Charges	Service Manager, Transport and Facilities	Amendments are supported by staff	Fees and charges be updated as per submission
	<u>Use type</u>	<u>Hourly fee</u>	<u>Daily fee</u> <u>(up to 7.5 hours)</u>	<u>Bond</u>																																				
A	Fernleigh Park Resident *	\$25	\$50	\$150																																				
C	Sponsored non-resident**	\$30	\$100	\$150																																				
B	Community Organisation***	\$42	\$150	\$150																																				
A: Fernleigh Park resident *		includes immediate family in attendance.																																						
B: Sponsored non-resident **		bookings approved prior by committee representatives as agreed and includes FP resident recommending booking NOTE: no bookings for 21 st or 18 th B'days or similar events.																																						
C: Community Organisation ***		bookings approved prior by committee includes FP resident recommending booking																																						

Submission summary – draft Integrated Plans

Submission No	Submission (full/summary)	Document	Responsible officer	Staff response	Staff recommendation
FC13	<p>Full submission</p> <p>REGARDING FEE INCREASE FOR FAMILY DAY CARE EDUCATORS I must say I am very annoyed by this fee increase. Over the last 12 months so many educators have shut down due to issue of not being able to sustain these ridiculous fee increases. In your email I do not see what us as Educators are getting in return for this fee increase. How does it benefit us? All I can read is that the Council has put something in place 5 years ago (gosh 5 years!) and they haven't bothered to look at what is in the market or think beyond themselves. See how situations have changed, what's in the market, look at competition. Have they bothered to see how we are going to pass this large increase to parents? Employees get CPI increase and we as educators get that taken away from us. Last year I didn't increase my fee but took on the loss. QFDC DO NOT provide me with resources, pay my bills or my child care for my daughter- So why the increase- what am I getting for the increase? You sent an email about what child care centers are charging, but you didn't compare apples to apples! Here's a proper comparison: Comms@Work: \$1.60 in NSW & \$1.85 in ACT but they provide workers comp in ACT. They let you advise - even give you advertising material! YWCA- Employee and on payroll- pay \$5.54 per hour per child as an employee so you get all employee benefits. So if you have 4 children that \$20 an hour and leave and super wow! Inspired FDC - \$1.20 Nefe's family Day Care- \$1.25 per hour per child. \$13.60 is charged to the Parent direct per week Not deducted from the educator. What as an educator am I getting? Have you or the Council actually done a comparison of what other Schemes are charging? Have Council bothered to see what we do on a daily basis and how much out of pocket we are? On top of his increase you are going to charge for the electronic time sheets too? Another \$3 a week?? Please see Educators have closed due to this and I will move to another provider if this continues. This increase is ridiculous and needs to be reviewed. A very annoyed Educator.</p>	Draft Fees and Charges	Service Manager, Community and Education	<p>Council considered changes to the Family Day Care fee structure a number of years ago due to changes to the funding model from the Federal Government. The Family Day Care scheme was originally a cost neutral exercise for Council, with the Government funding covering operating costs. Changes to the funding model meant the former Queanbeyan City Council had to consider fee increases to limit the impact the service had on ratepayers. At the time, it was agreed to introduce this via a staged approach. The 2018-19 financial year is the final year of this staged implementation.</p> <p>Staff have recommended that the fee for the upgraded Harmony Web not be passed on to educators and will be absorbed by Council in the 2018-19 financial year.</p> <p>Staff will work with educators to provide an understanding of the scheme's operational activities.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Retain the proposed fee increase (the final year of the five year fee structure) 2. The fee for the upgraded Harmony Web (\$3.30 per week per educator) will not be passed on to Educators in the coming financial year. (it will be met within FDC budget) 3. FDC Coordination team to survey educators around the role, support and operation of the scheme.
FC14	<p>See separate attachment to 28 June Council meeting for full submissions</p> <p>Summary of submission</p> <p>Amendments to pricing policy assigned to weeds compliance certificate fees and charges</p>	Draft Fees and Charges	Service Manager, Natural Landscapes and Health	Amendments supported	Make adjustments to Fees and Charges
FC15	<p>Full submission</p> <p>Can we please have in information in relation to the Indoor Sports Centres intention to run the following sports:</p> <p>Ultimate (Outdoor) - please explain what this is?</p> <p>Touch (Outdoor)</p> <p>AFL 9s (Outdoor)</p> <p>The Qbn Junior Rugby Club currently run a Touch Rugby competition, the Qbn Tigers run AFL 9s and there is the Jerra Touch Football competition. This will be in competition to current Community based clubs in the district. The Council has stated that it supports local sports and club however we don't need competition within its own sector.</p> <p>Where is the ISC intending on running these events? We have an agreement with the Sports & Rec about the use of the ovals at Campese Oval and Taylor Park. We are currently using the oval 10 months of the year. Leaving Oct and Dec for regrowth. Any additional sports will destroy the ovals.</p>	Draft Fees and Charges	Service Manager, Recreation and Culture	<p>Q-One Indoor Sports is primarily focused on indoor sports. The re-development of Q-One Indoor Sports will allow new programs to take place in particular – indoor cricket and squash. Council does not have any intention to pursue AFL 9s and touch football competitions that would directly compete with those already being run in the community. Fees for outdoor sports such as AFL 9s and touch football were included in the draft Fees and Charges should the competitions that are already being run in the community no longer proceed and there was a community push for these to continue.</p> <p>Specifically to questions raised in comments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ultimate (Outdoor) refers to Ultimate Frisbee • Q-One Indoor Sports has not identified an outdoor venue for these events and unlikely to do so until a community need arises 	No change required to document
FC16	<p>Internal submission</p> <p>Include fee for Equipment hire – Community Rate per session (\$12)</p> <p>This fee has been included in the past Fees and Charges, however was missed in the preparation of the draft document. Based on this, no public exhibition of a 'new fee' is required.</p>	Draft Fees and Charges	Service Manager, Community and Education	Include fee in final document	Make adjustments to Fees and Charges