



**MINUTES OF THE PUBLIC FORUM  
HELD ON 13 JULY 2022**

**1. Opening**

The Public Forum commenced at 5.32pm.

**2. Presentations relating to listed Items on the Council Agenda**

The following presenters were heard:

	<b>Name</b>	<b>Item no</b>	<b>Item description</b>	<b>For/ Against</b>
1	Sue Murray (via Zoom)	9.5	Locality / Area Committee Review	For
2	Eddie Zarb	12.1	Queanbeyan Showground Place Nomination	For

**3. Petitions**

There were no petitions submitted.

**4. 'Questions on Notice' from the Public**

Responses to the following 'Questions on Notice' received up to 6 July 2022 were provided and tabled at the meeting (see attached for responses):

	<b>Received from</b>	<b>In relation to:</b>
1-4	Save Bungendore Park Inc	Formal access applications made under the <i>Government Information (Public Access) Act</i> .

**5. Presentations by Invitation from the CEO**

There were no presentations.

**6. Closure**

As there were no further matters, the Public Forum closed at 5.39pm.



## ATTACHMENT TO MINUTES OF THE PUBLIC FORUM HELD ON 13 July 2022

### 'Questions on Notice' from the Public

Responses to the following 'Questions on Notice' received up to *Wednesday 6 July 2022* were provided and tabled at the meeting.

### Questions submitted by: Save Bungendore Park Inc

All following responses are provided by the Organisation Capability portfolio of QPRC.

1. **How many decisions has Council made since 1 January 2021 in relation to formal access applications made under the Government Information (Public Access) Act?**
2. **What was the subject matter of these applications?**
3. **In relation to those decisions:**
  - (a) **How many decisions were taken to grant access in full?**
  - (b) **How many decisions were taken to grant access in part?**
  - (c) **How many decisions were taken to refuse access (including finding that information was not held)?**

Responses to Questions 1 to 3 is information publicly available on the QPRC Disclosure Log which is published on Council's website  
[www.qprc.nsw.gov.au/Council/Council-Business/Access-Council-Information#section-6](http://www.qprc.nsw.gov.au/Council/Council-Business/Access-Council-Information#section-6)

4. **In relation to any decision to grant access in part or refuse access:**
  - (a) **How many decisions were appealed by the applicant to the Information and Privacy Commissioner or the NCAT?**

Six
  - (b) **How many of those appeals resulted in a finding which upheld Council's decision?**

Zero
  - (c) **How many of those appeals resulted in a finding that Council's decision was not justified?**

Six

A recommendation from the Information and Privacy Commission to remake a decision does not mean that the decision was not justified. It means that in the Commission's view different factors should be considered and given different weight when determining the public interest test and Council is asked to reconsider the access application.